

Field induced linear magneto-elastic coupling in multiferroic TbMnO₃

N. Aliouane,¹ D. N. Argyriou,¹ J. Stremper,² I. Zegkinoglou,² S. Landsgesell,¹ and M. v. Zimmermann³

¹*Hahn-Meitner-Institut, Glienicker Str. 100, Berlin D-14109, Germany*

²*Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, Heisenbergstraße 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany*

³*Hamburger Synchrotronstrahlungslabor HASYLAB at Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22603 Hamburg, Germany*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

We have used in-field neutron and X-ray single crystal diffraction to measure the incommensurability δ of the crystal and magnetic structure of multiferroic TbMnO₃. We show that the flop in the electric polarization at the critical field H_C , for field H along the a - and b -axis coincides with a 1st order transition to a commensurate phase with propagation vector $\kappa = (0, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$. In-field X-ray diffraction measurements show that the quadratic magneto-elastic coupling breaks down with applied field as shown by the observation of the 1st harmonic lattice reflections above and below H_C . This indicates that magnetic field induces a linear magneto-elastic coupling. We argue that the commensurate phase can be described by an ordering of Mn-O-Mn bond angles.

Control of the spontaneous ferroelectric polarization (P_s) with an external magnetic field (H) in a material opens the opportunity for new types of magneto-electric devices. The realization of such devices is based on multiferroic materials in which magnetism and ferroelectricity are strongly coupled. While available multiferroics are limited, it has been shown that frustrated spin materials may offer a new class of enhanced multiferroics [1, 2, 3]. In one of these new materials, TbMnO₃, we find that multiferroic behavior arises as a consequence of the release of frustration with H . Here ferroelectricity arises below the Néel temperature (T_N), from a coupling to the lattice of an incommensurate (IC) modulation of the magnetic structure (Fig. 1a) that is caused from frustration in the ordering Mn d orbitals [1, 3]. In this letter we show that magnetic field releases this frustration, inducing a linear magneto-elastic coupling, so that ferroelectricity is no longer a secondary effect. The linear magneto-elastic coupling drives a magneto-structural transition from an IC phase which has P_s along the c -axis to a commensurate (C) phase with P_s along the a -axis.

In TbMnO₃ (orthorhombic, $a=5.302\text{\AA}$, $b=5.857\text{\AA}$, $c=7.402\text{\AA}$) when a magnetic field is applied along the b -axis ($H\parallel b$) at 2K, parallel to the direction of the IC magnetic modulation (see Fig. 1a), the electric polarization of the lattice flops from along the c -axis ($P\parallel c$) to along the a -axis ($P\parallel a$) at the critical field $H_C^b \sim 4.5\text{T}$ (Fig. 2(d)). When field is applied along the a -axis ($H\parallel a$), perpendicular to the magnetic modulation, a similar flop is found but at a higher critical field, $H_C^a \sim 9\text{T}$ (Fig. 2(a)). Recently there have been a number of examples of novel magneto-elastic coupling in complex multiferroic oxides such as Tb₂Mn₂O₅ which exhibits a reversible polarization switch with applied field [4], and hexagonal HoMnO₃ where one magnetic phase is selected over another by applying an electric field [5]. However, TbMnO₃ is unique as it is the only known example of a material that exhibits a field induced flop of the polarization.

In TbMnO₃ the staggered ordering of Mn³⁺

$3d_{3x^2-r^2}/3d_{3y^2-r^2}$ orbitals as found in LaMnO₃ is frustrated partly due to the small ionic size of Tb³⁺ [3]. This leads to an IC spin ordering which drives a ferroelectric lattice modulation [3, 6]. The wavevector for the modulation of the Mn magnetic moments is $\kappa_m^{Mn} = (0, k \pm \delta_m^{Mn}, 0)$, with incommensurability $\delta_m^{Mn} \sim 0.29$ at T_N (1st harmonic) [7, 8]. Accompanying the magnetic ordering there is a lattice modulation with $\delta_l = 2\delta_m$ (2nd harmonic) [1, 2, 3] consistent with a *quadratic magneto-elastic coupling* between the lattice and the spin density wave [9, 10]. Below $T_N^{Tb} = 7\text{K}$, sinusoidal Tb spin order is also found ($\kappa_m^{Tb} = (0, k \pm \delta_m^{Tb}, 0)$, $\delta_m^{Tb} = 0.42$) [8] and is expected to produce a similar coupling to the lattice with $\delta_m^{Tb} = 2\delta_l^{Tb}$.

To uncover the nature of the coupling between P and H we have used in-field neutron and synchrotron X-ray single crystal diffraction to measure the field response of δ with field applied parallel to the a - and b -axis ($H\parallel a$ and $H\parallel b$), up to 14T. Single crystals were obtained by re-crystalizing a ceramic rod of TbMnO₃ under Ar atmosphere using an optical floating zone furnace. Small pieces of our crystal were characterized using magnetization and specific heat with good agreement with published measurements [1]. Synchrotron X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out on beamline X21 ($E_i = 9.5\text{ keV}$) at the NSLS, Brookhaven National Laboratory, using a vertical 13T superconducting magnet with the sample aligned with $H\parallel a$, and at the BW5 beamline at HASYLAB ($E_i = 100.5\text{ keV}$), using a horizontal 10T superconducting magnet and the sample aligned with $H\parallel b$. Neutron diffraction experiments were made on a single crystal of TbMnO₃, (19mm in length and 8mm in diameter) at the BENSC facility of the Hahn-Meitner Institut, Berlin. For measurements with $H\parallel a$ we used the FLEX cold triple-axis spectrometer with collimation of $40'-40'-40'$, $k_i = 1.5\text{\AA}^{-1}$, and a cooled Be filter on the scattered beam, while a magnetic field was applied using the vertical 14.5T superconducting magnet VM1. For measurements with $H\parallel b$ we used the 2-axis diffractome-

ter E4 ($\lambda = 2.2\text{\AA}$) with $60'-40'$ collimation while field was applied using the 4T horizontal field magnet HM1. In all cases diffraction measurements were made in the bc -plane. Our neutron diffraction measurements are sensitive to magnetic ordering whereas X-ray diffraction experiments probe the lattice modulation.

We applied field parallel to the a -axis and we measured characteristic reflections using neutron diffraction by cooling our crystal from 50 to 2K in fields of 0, 2, 4, 6, 10 and 14T. The variation of δ for Mn and Tb spin ordering as a function of field at 2K, compiled from these measurements, is shown in Fig. 2(b), while the variation of the intensity of the same reflections is shown in Fig. 2(c).

In Fig. 3(b) we show neutron diffraction scans through the 1st harmonic reflection $(0, \delta_m^{Mn}, 1)$ as a function of $H\|a$. The intensity of this reflection is enhanced with magnetic field from 2 to 4T while the magnitude of δ_m^{Mn} remains unchanged for fields up to 8T (Fig. 2(b,c)). However, for $H > H_C^a \sim 9\text{T}$ we find that δ of the 1st harmonic reflection has changed to a C value of $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ and is accompanied by a 2nd harmonic reflection with $2\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ (Fig. 2(b)). This transition at H_C^a coincides with the polarization flop from $P\|c$ to $P\|a$ as shown in Fig. 2(a). The implication of this observation is that the polarization flop arises because the spontaneous polarization of the C-phase is along the a -axis, different to that of the IC-phase.

In Fig. 3(a) we show X-ray diffraction scans as a function of $H\|a$ at $T=10\text{K}$ for the 1st harmonic $(0, 3-\delta_m^{Mn}, 8)$ reflection. If the magneto-elastic coupling is quadratic, then only magnetic scattering is allowed for this reflection, and indeed we do not observe a signal at this position for $H\|a < 2\text{T}$. However, for $H\|a \geq 2\text{T}$ a peak becomes visible and above H_C^a it locks into the C value of $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$. The observation of a lattice reflection at the wavevector of the 1st harmonic indicates that for $H\|a$ a linear magneto-elastic coupling is induced above 2T which is maintained above H_C into the C-phase. The variation of the intensity of this reflection, shown in Fig. 2(c) is complex, but its changes are associated with the metamagnetic transition of Tb-spins (see below) and the increase in the intensity of the 1st harmonic reflection $(0, \delta_m, 1)$ between 2 and 4T (see Fig. 2(c)).

The behavior of the magnetic reflections due to Tb ordering is significantly different from that for Mn. The intensity of the 1st harmonic reflection $(0, \delta_m^{Tb}, 1)$ decreases significantly between 0 and 2T (Fig. 2(c)), indicative of a metamagnetic transition reported previously [1]. This transition in the Tb magnetic sub-lattice is coincident with an anomaly in $P\|c$ as well as with a sharp increase in the magnetization reported elsewhere [1, 11]. However, above H_C^a we find no evidence of sinusoidal Tb spin ordering, suggesting that Tb spins have also ordered commensurately. Rather we find that with $H\|a$ Tb spins are polarized ferromagnetically as indicated by an increase in the intensity of Bragg reflections.

The polarization flop when the field is applied along the b -axis in the direction of the magnetic modulation, occurs at a lower critical field of $H_C^b \sim 4\text{T}$ (Fig. 2(d)). Here we applied a magnetic field parallel to the b -axis after the sample was cooled to 2K in zero field and characteristic superlattice reflections, such as the $(0, 2\delta_m^{Mn}, 3)$, were measured using synchrotron X-ray diffraction (see Fig. 4). When a magnetic field is applied, the incommensurability of the 2nd harmonic reflection remains invariant (Fig. 2(e)) but its intensity rapidly decreases with field from 0 to 2T and remains low up to $\sim 4\text{T}$ (Fig. 2(f)). Increasing field clearly destabilizes the lattice modulation and leads to a discontinuous transition to the C-phase with $2\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ at $H_C^b = 4.5\text{T}$ (Fig. 4(a) and 2(e,f)). We find C and IC reflections coexisting over the field range of 4 to 5.5T, while for $H > 5.5\text{T}$ only the $2\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ superlattice reflection is visible (Fig. 4(a)). Coincident to this magneto-structural transition is the polarization flop from $P\|c$ to $P\|a$ at about 4.5T as shown in Fig. 2(d).

As with the previous field configuration, here also our X-ray measurements revealed superlattice reflections with $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$ above H_C^b (Fig. 4(b) and 2(e,f)). The linear increase in the intensity of this reflection with $H\|b$ above H_C^b is particularly striking, as it suggests a linear magneto-electric coupling in the C-phase. The variation of intensity with field of the 2nd harmonic reflection is different in that it increases rapidly above H_C^b and shows a constant variation with H above $\sim 6\text{T}$ (Fig. 2(f)).

For this field configuration we find using neutron diffraction that at 2K Tb spins undergo an additional discontinuous transition to a phase with $\delta_m^{Tb} = \frac{1}{3}$ at $H\|b = 1.25\text{T}$, as shown in Fig. 2(e). From symmetry analysis Tb-spins for this phase are both sign and amplitude modulated. This transition is reflected in an anomaly in $P\|c$ at the same field value (Fig. 2(d)), and a significant increase in the magnetization at this temperature is also reported [1]. Above H_C^b we find no evidence of incommensurate ordering of Tb-spins, but the significant increase of the magnetization at this field indicates that Tb spins order commensurately there [1].

In zero field TbMnO_3 is an improper ferroelectric where the lattice polarization arises from a quadratic magneto-elastic coupling to a spin density wave as indicated by the observation of the 2nd harmonic structural reflections [9, 10]. Here we demonstrate that this picture of improper ferroelectricity in TbMnO_3 breaks down with H even below H_C for the case of $H\|a$ and most likely for $H\|b$. The identical periodicity of the magnetic and crystal structure indicates that H switches on a linear magneto-elastic coupling which is maintained into the C-phase. This would suggest that the IC-C phase transition we report on and consequently the polarization flop at H_C are driven by field induced linear magneto-elastic coupling. Further our measurements show that although the application of field ultimately results in a C-phase, the effect of H on Tb-spins is dependent on the field

direction. For $H\parallel b$ the transition to the C-phase is coupled to a metamagnetic transition of Tb-spins [1], while for $H\parallel a$ the Tb-spins order commensurately at field below H_C^b . How exactly Tb-spins couple to the transition of the high field C-phase is yet to be resolved.

The *magneto-electric* coupling is expected to change in a similar way as the magneto-elastic coupling. Indeed the behavior of TbMnO₃ with H is reminiscent to that of BiFeO₃ which exhibits a switch to linear magneto-electric coupling at ~ 20 T [12]. The coupling between P and H is expressed by $P_i = P_{si} + \alpha_{ij}H_j + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{ijk}H_jH_k$, where P_s is the spontaneous polarization, α_{ij} is the tensor of the magneto-electric susceptibility, β_{ijk} is a tensor describing the coupling of an incommensurate magnetic structure to the lattice [12]. In an analogy to BiFeO₃, we suggest that as field destroys the incommensurate spin ordering ($\beta_{ijk} \rightarrow 0$), a linear magneto-electric effect would be expected to arise [12, 13]. Indeed a linear behavior in P vs. H is evident for $H\parallel b$ (Fig. 2(d)).

The commensurate propagation vector of $\kappa = (0, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$ uniquely gives a spin structure that is only sign modulated as depicted in Fig. 1(b). It is of interest to consider the effect of this magnetic ordering on the frustrated orbital ordering. The crystal structure of TbMnO₃ ($H=0$ T, $T > T_N$) shows an ordering of long and short bonds as found in LaMnO₃, but with a low angle ϕ of 144° between MnO₆ octahedra (Mn-O-Mn), compared to 165° found in LaMnO₃ [14]. A simple application of Goodenough's rules would suggest a layered antiferromagnetic (AF) ordering, inconsistent with the present data [15]. We propose here that the structural distortion that is coupled to the $\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$ spin structure arises from the ordering of ϕ . Small values of ϕ would result in AF interactions between adjacent Mn-spins as the influence of super-exchange via t_{2g} -orbitals would be more significant [3, 15]. On the other hand a relative larger value of ϕ will be less influenced from t_{2g} interactions and would propagate a ferromagnetic coupling between Mn-spins as it does in LaMnO₃. Indeed the layered AF structure is stable to values of ϕ as low as 148° , found in SmMnO₃ [3]. Using the magnetic ordering of the high field C-phase as a constraint and displacing O-atoms in the para-electric structure so that ϕ should increase (decrease) for ferromagnetic (antiferromagnetic) coupling between adjacent Mn-spins, one arrives at a structural model shown in Fig. 1b. The result is a structure where ferromagnetically coupled MnO₆ octahedra undergo simple rotations (ferroelectrically inactive), while octahedra that are frustrated by antiferromagnetic coupling in the ab -plane show a scissor-like distortion (ferroelectrically active). The combined rotations and scissor-like distortions in the structure leads to anti-ferroelectric displacements for the O-atoms along the b -axis but an overall ferroelectric displacement along the a -axis in agreement with polarization measurements. While this simple model predicts the correct polarization for the C-phase on the basis of the

magnetic structure, the periodicity of the structural distortion suggests displacements of the Mn ions that can not be accurately predicted in the absence of high field crystallographic data.

In this letter we have demonstrated that the polarization flop in TbMnO₃ arises from a transition to a C-phase with propagation vector $\kappa = (0, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$. The quadratic magneto-elastic coupling breaks down with field and the high field phase exhibits linear magneto-elastic and magneto-electric behavior. We argue that field has the effect of releasing the apparent frustration in the orbital ordering in TbMnO₃ and leads to a C-phase by an ordering of Mn-O-Mn bond angles.

The authors benefited from discussions with D. Khomskii, T. Kimura and L.C. Chapon. We thank Klaus Habicht, Peter Smeibidl, Sebastian Gerischer, Klaus Kiefer, and Michael Meissner from BENSC, C.J. Milne from HMI and W.A. Caliebe from NSLS for assistance during the experimental work. Work at Brookhaven was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Materials Science, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

-
- [1] T. Kimura, et al., Nature **426**, 55 (2003),
 - [2] T. Goto, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 257201 (2004),
 - [3] T. Kimura, et al., Phys. Rev. B **68**, 060403 (2003),
 - [4] N. Hur, et al., Nature **429**, 392 (2004),
 - [5] T. Lottermoser, et al., Nature **430**, 541 (2004).
 - [6] M. Kenzelmann, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. in press (2005).
 - [7] S. Quezel, et al., Physica B+C **86-88**, 916 (1977),
 - [8] R. Kajimoto, et al., Phys. Rev. B **70**, 012401 (2004),
 - [9] M. L. Plumer and M. B. Walker, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics **15**, 7181 (1982).
 - [10] M. B. Walker, Phys. Rev. B **22**, 1338 (1980),
 - [11] T. Kimura, et al., Phys. Rev. B in press ((2005)).
 - [12] Y. Popov, et al., JETP Lett. **57**, 69 (1993).
 - [13] A. K. Zvezdin and A. P. Pyatakov, Phys. Usp. **47**, 416 (2004).
 - [14] J. Blasco, et al., Phys. Rev. B **62**, 5609 (2000).
 - [15] J. Goodenough, Phys. Rev. **100**, 564 (1955).

FIG. 1: Crystal and magnetic structure of TbMnO₃ in the ab -plane. Long (darker) and short (lighter) Mn-O bond ordering arises from staggered $3d_{3x^2-r^2}/3d_{3y^2-r^2}$ orbital ordering found above T_N . (a) A Model of the IC magnetic structure of TbMnO₃ below T_N [7]. Arrows through Mn atoms indicate the direction of Mn-spins. (b) A model of the Mn-spin structure for TbMnO₃ for $H > H_C$. Here arrows from O-atoms indicate the direction of displacement from the average structure as to increase (decrease) the angle ϕ on the basis of ferromagnetic (antiferromagnetic) coupling between adjacent Mn-ions. Large arrows on ferroelectrically active octahedra indicate the direction of the predicted polarization from these displacements.

FIG. 2: (a,d) Electric polarization of TbMnO₃ parallel to the a - ($P||a$) and c -axis ($P||c$) with $H||a$ and $H||b$, respectively. These data were compiled from field cooled measurements reported by Kimura *et al.*[11]. (b) Variation of δ as a function of $H||a$, for the 1st harmonic reflections $(0, \delta_m^{Mn}, 1)$, $(0, \delta_m^{Tb}, 1)$ measured using neutron diffraction (filled blue and red circles respectively) and $(0, 3-\delta, 8)$ measured with X-ray diffraction (filled green squares). For $H > H_C^a$ the C reflections $(0, \frac{1}{4}, 1)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 1)$ (open blue circles) were measured using neutron diffraction while the $(0, 2\frac{3}{4}, 8)$ reflection with X-rays (open green squares). (c) Variation of the integrated intensity as a function $H||a$, for the same reflections as in panel (b). For the reflection due to Tb ordering the logarithmic scale on the right axis is used. (e) Variation of δ as a function $H||b$, for the 1st harmonic reflections $(0, \delta_m^{Tb}, 1)$ and C $(0, \frac{1}{3}, 1)$ due to Tb spin ordering (filled and unfilled red circles respectively). On the same panel we show the results of X-ray diffraction measurements of the 2nd harmonic Mn reflection $(0, 2\delta^{Mn}, 3)$ (filled blue circles) and the 1st and 2nd harmonic reflections $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 3)$ and $(0, \frac{1}{4}, 3)$ (open blue circles). (f). Variation of the integrated intensity as a function of $H||b$, for the reflections shown in panel (e). For the reflection due to Tb ordering the logarithmic scale on the right axis is used. Integrated intensity in panels (c) and (d) is shown in arbitrary units.

FIG. 3: (a) X-ray diffraction scans of the 1st harmonic $(0, 3-K, 8)$ reflection as a function of field with $H||a$ at $T=10K$, measured on X21. (b) Neutron diffraction scans through the 1st harmonic $(0, \delta_m^{Mn}, 1)$ reflection as a function of $H||a$.

FIG. 4: (a) Scans of the 2nd harmonic IC lattice reflection at $(0, 2\delta^{Mn}, 3)$ with increasing field at 2.6K measured on BW5. The C reflection at $(0, \frac{1}{2}, 3)$ is shown for $H > H_C^b$. (b) Similarly, scans of the 1st harmonic $(0, \frac{1}{4}, 4)$ reflection of the C-phase with increasing field at 2.6K for $H > H_C^a$ are shown.

This figure "fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0508634v1>

This figure "fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0508634v1>

This figure "fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0508634v1>

This figure "fig4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/cond-mat/0508634v1>